arXiv:1405.2473v2 [quant-ph] 15 May 2014

Normal forms, inner products, and Maslov indices of general multimode squeezings

A M Chebotarev[1], **T V Tlyachev**[1]

[1] Faculty of Physics, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Vorob'evy gory 1, Moscow, Russian Federation

E-mail: chebotarev@phys.msu.ru, tlyachev@physics.msu.ru

Abstract. In this paper we present a pure algebraic construction of the normal factorization of multimode squeezed states and calculate their inner products. This procedure allows one to orthonormalize bases generated by squeezed states. We calculate several correct representations of the normalizing constant for the normal factorization, discuss an analogue of the Maslov index for squeezed states, and show that the Jordan decomposition is a useful mathematical tool for problems with degenerate Hamiltonians. As an application of this theory we consider a non-trivial class of squeezing problems which are solvable in any dimension.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Fd, 03.65.-w

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Canonical transformations and normal representation of squeezings **3**

3 Integral representations of s_t and the index problem **6**

4 Algebraic forms of e^{s_t} and normal symbols of squeezings **9**

5 Inner product of squeezed states and composition of squeezings **13**

6 The Jordan decomposition of squeezings **14**

7 An example of normal decomposition **16**

8 Numerical tests for integral and algebraic representations of s_t **17**

1. Introduction

In this paper we derive a correct expression for the normal ordering of the unitary group $U_t = e^{i\widehat{H}t}$ generated by the Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{i}{2}\Big((a^\dagger, Aa^\dagger) - (a, \overline{A}a)\Big) + (a^\dagger, Ba) + i(a^\dagger, h) - i(a, \overline{h}) = \widehat{H}_2 + \widehat{H}_1, \tag{1}$$

where $a^\dagger = \{a_i^\dagger\}_1^n$, $a = \{a_i\}_1^n$ are the multimode creation and annihilation operators with canonical commutation relation (CCR) $[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$, $A = A^T = \{A_{ij}\}$ is a complex symmetric $n \times n$ matrix, $B = B^* = \{B_{ij}\}$ is a Hermitian matrix of the same size, and $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We use the standard notation: the star B^* denotes the Hermite conjugation of B, the bar $\overline{A}$ stands for the complex conjugation, and A^T means the transposed matrix A. By $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ we denote the bilinear inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the corresponding bilinear form in $\mathbb{C}^n$; the sesquilinear inner product in the Hilbert state space $\mathcal{H} = \otimes_1^n \ell_2$ will be denoted by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$.

In section 2, the normal decomposition of generalized squeezings $U_t = e^{i\widehat{H}t}$ is constructed for Hamiltonians (1) with $A \neq 0$, $B \neq 0$. To this end, a system of algebraic equations is derived for R_t, ρ_t, $C_t \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, g_t, $f_t \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $s_t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$U_t = e^{i\widehat{H}t} = e^{s_t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)}\, e^{(a^\dagger, C_t a)}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}(a, \overline{\rho}_t a) + (\overline{f}_t, a)}, \quad U_0 = I. \tag{2}$$

The solutions are represented in terms of $(n \times n)$-matrices Φ_t and Ψ_t of canonical transformations preserving canonical commutation relations [1]. Decomposition (2) allows one to calculate the normal symbol of squeezing and the inner products of squeezed states. The last procedure is necessary for constructing a basis generated by squeezed states.

For single mode quantum systems, the normal ordered factorization of the unitary exponent $U_t = e^{i\widehat{H}t}$ follows form a formula proved by D.A.Kirznic in [2]. Applications of this formula to quantum statistics are considered in monograph of N.Bogoliubov and D.Shirkov [3]. The multimode versions of (2) for $B = 0$ was derived by H.-Y.Fan [5]. For the theory and recent investigations related to multimode squeezed states see the monograph of C.Gardiner and P.Zoller [6] and the papers of V.Dodonov [8], G.Agarwal [9], N.Schuch et al. [10]. In [11] we describe the normal factorization (2) of squeezed states in terms of canonical variables Φ_t and Ψ_t introduced by F.Berezin in [1]. We reconsider his proof and suggest new expressions for s_t which preserve the norm of the corresponding squeezed states.

Note that the assumption $B = 0$ is typical for the standard definition of a squeezed state. The factorization of squeezings (2) with general matrix $B \neq 0$ was described in [12]. If $[C_t, \dot{C}_t] \neq 0$, difficulties arise when one tries to derive an evolution equation for C_t in decomposition (2) (see [14] and [15], pp. 274–275, Eq. (1.10)). The advantage of canonical variables Φ_t, Ψ_t is that they allows one to derive and to solve just *algebraic* equations for matrices R_t, C_t, ρ_t in (2), but not a nonlinear ODE, which can not be written for C_t as a local ODE, when $[C_t, \dot{C}_t] \neq 0$ (see [12]).

A short proof of the normal factorization (2) and explicit representations of the matrix valued coefficients for this decomposition in terms of canonical transformations are considered in section 2.

In section 3, we derive integral representations for the scalar function s_t which defines the norm and the phase of the normal decomposition and discuss the index problem, which is essential for systems with $B \neq 0$ and implies continuity of s_t. The algebraic representations of s_t can be calculated faster than the corresponding integral expressions.

Algebraic expressions for s_t and the formula for the normal symbol of squeezings are discussed in section 4.

In section 5, we recall some useful facts on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-representations of multimode squeezings and establish equations representing the inner product of squeezings and compositions of squeezed states. In this way, the orthonormalization procedure for squeezed states can be reduced to standard problems of linear algebra.

The algebraic expressions for components of the Jordan decomposition of matrces generating the canonical transformations are derived in section 6 . This procedure is helpful for solving the problems with degenerate Hamiltonians.

In section 7, we note that in the class of problems with A and B such that $[B, A\overline{A}] = 0$, the factorization problem reduces to the eigenvalue problem for the Hermitian matrix $A\overline{A} - B^2$.

Numerical tests are considered in section 8. The basic equalities have been checked either analytically or numerically by using Wolfram Mathematica, and these interactive tests are available at [19].

2. Canonical transformations and normal representation of squeezings

Hamiltonian (1) defines the $(2n \times 2n)$-block matrix $G = \begin{pmatrix} -iB & A \\ \overline{A} & i\overline{B} \end{pmatrix}$ and the group of symplectic matrices e^{Gt} (see [1], [13]) such that

$$i\Big[\widehat{H}, \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}\Big] = G \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{Gt} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3}$$

The matrices S_t preserve $(2n \times 2n)$-block structure (3) and possess the following properties: $\det S_t = 1$,

$$S_{-t} = S_t^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{-t} & \Psi_{-t} \\ \overline{\Psi}_{-t} & \overline{\Phi}_{-t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t^* & -\Psi_t^T \\ -\Psi_t^* & \Phi_t^T \end{pmatrix}, \; S_t^T J S_t = J, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Equations (3) define the evolution $\dot{S}_t = S_t G = G S_t$, initial values $\Phi_0 = I$, $\Psi_0 = 0$, and algebraic representations for $a_t = U_t a U_t^*$, $a_t^\dagger = U_t a^\dagger U_t^*$, h_t, and $\overline{h}_t$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ a_t^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = S_t \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} h_t \\ \overline{h}_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h_t \\ \overline{h}_t \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t S_\tau \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix} d\tau = \frac{S_t - I}{G} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The matrices

$$G^{-1}(\exp Gt - I) = I + \frac{1}{2!}G + \frac{1}{3!}G^2 + \ldots, \quad G^{-2}(\exp Gt - I - Gt) = \frac{1}{2!}I + \frac{1}{3!}G + \ldots$$

remain well defined for degenerate G.

The set of canonical commutation relations and the rules for inversion of time

$$\Phi_t\Phi_t^* - \Psi_t\Psi_t^* = \Phi_t^*\Phi_t - \Psi_t^T\overline{\Psi}_t = I, \quad \Phi_t\Psi_t^T - \Psi_t\Phi_t^T = \Phi_t^*\Psi_t - \Psi_t^T\overline{\Phi}_t = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$R_t = -\rho_{-t}, \quad \Phi_t = \Phi_{-t}^*, \quad , \Psi_t = -\Psi_{-t}^T \tag{7}$$

is a corollary of equations (4) and the identity $S_tS_{-t} = S_{-t}S_t = I$. More generally, from $e^{G(t\pm s)} = e^{Gt}e^{\pm Gs} = e^{\pm Gs}e^{Gt}$ and (4), the matrix analogue of addition-subtraction formulae for sine and cosine follow:

$$\Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_t\Phi_s + \Psi_t\overline{\Psi}_s = \Phi_s\Phi_t + \Psi_s\overline{\Psi}_t, \quad \Psi_{t+s} = \Psi_t\Phi_s + \Phi_t\overline{\Psi}_s = \Phi_s\Psi_t + \Psi_s\overline{\Phi}_t,$$
$$\Phi_{t-s} = \Phi_t\Phi_s^* - \Psi_t\Psi_s^* = \Phi_s^*\Phi_t - \Psi_s^T\overline{\Psi}_t, \quad \Psi_{t-s} = \Psi_t\Phi_s^T - \Phi_t\Psi_s^T = \Phi_s^*\Psi_t - \Psi_s^T\overline{\Phi}_t. \tag{8}$$

Identities (6) imply the inequality $\Phi_t\Phi_t^* \geq I$, so that the inverse matrix $|\Phi_t| \geq I$, i.e. Φ_t^{-1} exists. The second identity (6) proves that the matries

$$R_t = \Phi_t^{-1}\Psi_t = \Psi_t^T(\Phi_t^T)^{-1} = R_t^T, \quad \rho_t = \Psi_t\overline{\Phi}_t^{-1} = (\Phi_t^*)^{-1}\Psi_t^T$$

are *symmetric* and *well defined* for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, equation (6) implies

$$R_t\overline{R}_t = R_tR_t^* = I - (\Phi_t^*\Phi_t)^{-1} \leq I, \quad |R_t|^2 = R_t^*R_t \leq I.$$

Therefore, the operator $e^{\pm\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_ta^\dagger)}$ in (2) is densely defined at any time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $A = \overline{A} = 0$, then

$$e^{Gt} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-itB} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{it\overline{B}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the unitary group $U_t = e^{it\widehat{H}}$ can be rewritten as a normally ordered composition

$$e^{it\widehat{H}_2} = e^{s_t}e^{-g_t,a^\dagger}e^{(a^\dagger,C_ta)}e^{(\overline{f}_t,a)} = e^{s_t}e^{-g_t,a^\dagger} : e^{(a^\dagger,(e^{C_t}-I)a)} : e^{(\overline{f}_t,a)},$$

where the creation and annihilation operators inside the colon brackets act in the normal order. Explicit equations for s_t, g_t, f_t, and C_t readily follow from CCR and the pair of equivalent representations of $a_t = U_taU_t^*$ and $a_t^\dagger = U_ta^\dagger U_t^*$:

$$\Phi_ta + h_t = e^{it\widehat{H}}ae^{-it\widehat{H}} = e^{-(g_t,a^\dagger)}e^{(a^\dagger,C_ta)}ae^{-(a^\dagger,C_ta)}e^{(g_t,a^\dagger)} = e^{-C_t}(a + g_t),$$
$$\overline{\Phi}_ta^\dagger + \overline{h}_t = e^{it\widehat{H}}a^\dagger e^{-it\widehat{H}} = e^{(a^\dagger,C_ta)}e^{(\overline{f}_t,a)}a^\dagger e^{-(\overline{f}_t,a)}e^{-(a^\dagger,C_ta)} = e^{C_t^T}a^\dagger + \overline{f}_t.$$

By equating the coefficients at a, $a^\dagger$, and at the operator of multiplication by scalar on the left and right hand sides of these equalities, we obtain

$$g_t = \Phi_t^{-1}h_t, \ f_t = h_t, \ C_t : \ \Phi_t = e^{-itB} = e^{-C_t}, \ C_t = itB. \tag{9}$$

In order to calculate $e^{s_t} = \langle 0|e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle$, one can use the following equation:

$$\dot{s}_te^{s_t} = \langle 0|e^{it\widehat{H}}i\widehat{H}|0\rangle = -\langle 0|e^{it\widehat{H}}(h, a^\dagger)|0\rangle = -\langle 0|(h, \overline{\Phi}_ta^\dagger + \overline{h}_t)e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle = -e^{s_t}(h, \overline{h}_t). \tag{10}$$

Therefore, $s_t = -\int_0^t(h, \overline{h}_\tau)d\tau$, and finally we obtain the normal decomposition for squeezings with $A = 0$:

$$e^{it\widehat{H}} = e^{-\int_0^t(h,\overline{h}_\tau)d\tau}e^{-(\Phi_t^{-1}h_t,a^\dagger)} : e^{(a^\dagger,(e^{itB}-I)a)} : e^{(\overline{h}_t,a)}. \tag{11}$$

For Hamiltonian (1), the general form of the normal decomposition

$$e^{it\widehat{H}} = e^{s_t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)} : e^{(a^\dagger, (e^{C_t} - I)a)} : e^{\frac{1}{2}(a, \overline{\rho}_t a) + (\overline{f}_t, a^\dagger)}. \tag{12}$$

is more similar to an expression used for $B = 0$ (see [11]):

$$e^{Gt} = e^{t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ \overline{A} & 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_t = \Phi_t^* = \cosh(A\overline{A})^{\frac{1}{2}} t, \quad \Psi_t = \Psi_t^T = \frac{\sinh(A\overline{A})^{\frac{1}{2}} t}{(A\overline{A})^{\frac{1}{2}}} A.$$

The proof of (12) in the general case uses the commutation rules

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)} a e^{\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) + (g_t, a^\dagger)} = a + R_t a^\dagger + g_t,$$
$$e^{\frac{1}{2}(a, \overline{\rho}_t a) + (\overline{f}_t, a)} a^\dagger e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a, \overline{\rho}_t a) - (\overline{f}_t, a)} = a^\dagger + \overline{\rho}_t a + \overline{f}_t$$

and the equations for parameters of the normal decomposition which follow from the commutation relations

$$\Phi_t a + \Psi_t + h_t = e^{it\widehat{H}} a e^{-it\widehat{H}}$$
$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)} e^{(a^\dagger, C_t a)} a e^{-(a^\dagger, C_t a)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) + (g_t, a^\dagger)} = e^{-C_t}(a + R_t a^\dagger + g_t), \tag{13}$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_t a^\dagger + \overline{\Psi}_t a + \overline{h}_t = e^{it\widehat{H}} a^\dagger e^{-it\widehat{H}}$$
$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)} e^{(a^\dagger, C_t a)} (a^\dagger + \overline{\rho}_t a + \overline{f}_t) e^{-(a^\dagger, C_t a)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger)(g_t, a^\dagger)}$$
$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)} (e^{C_t^T} a^\dagger + \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t} a + \overline{f}_t) e^{\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger)(g_t, a^\dagger)}$$
$$= e^{C_t^T} a^\dagger + \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t}(a + R_t a^\dagger + g_t) + \overline{f}_t.$$

These relations imply equations for parameters R_t, ρ_t, C_t, g_t, h_t of the normal decomposition (2):

$$\Phi_t = e^{-C_t}, \quad \overline{\Phi}_t = e^{C_t^T} + \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t} R_t, \quad h_t = e^{-C_t} g_t, \tag{14}$$
$$\Psi_t = e^{-C_t} R_t, \quad \overline{\Psi}_t = \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t}, \quad \overline{h}_t = \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t} g_t + \overline{f}_t.$$

This system of equations possesses the following solution:

$$C_t = -\ln \Phi_t, \quad R_t = \Phi_t^{-1}\Psi_t, \quad \overline{\rho}_t = \overline{\Psi}_t \Phi_t^{-1}, \quad g_t = \Phi_t^{-1} h_t, \quad f_t = h_t - \rho_t \overline{h}_t. \tag{15}$$

The compatibility of equations (14) for Φ_t and $\overline{\Phi}_t$, Ψ_t and $\overline{\Psi}_t$ is a remarkable fact:

$$e^{C_t^T} + \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t} R_t = (\Phi_t^T)^{-1} + \overline{\Psi}_t \Phi_t^{-1} \Psi_t = (\Phi_t^T)^{-1} + (\Phi_t^T)^{-1} \Psi_t^* \Psi_t$$
$$= (\Phi_t^T)^{-1} + (\Phi_t^T)^{-1}(\Phi_t^T \overline{\Phi}_t - I) = \overline{\Phi}_t, \quad \overline{\Psi_t} = e^{-\overline{C}_t} \overline{R}_t = \overline{\Psi}_t \Phi_t^{-1} \Phi_t = \overline{\rho}_t e^{-C_t}.$$

Thus, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1. *The vector-valued and matrix-valued coefficients of the normal decomposition (2) of the squeezing with Hamiltonian (1)*

$$R_t = \Phi_t^{-1}\Psi_t, \quad \overline{\rho}_t = \overline{\Psi}_t \Phi_t^{-1}, \quad C_t = -\ln \Phi_t, \quad g_t = \Phi_t^{-1} h_t, \quad f_t = h_t - \rho_t \overline{h}_t \tag{16}$$

are well defined in terms of Φ_t and Ψ_t by (3). The matrices Φ_t^{-1} and $G^{-1}(e^{Gt} - 1)$ are well defined for any given $A = A^T$, $B = B^*$ at any time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. Integral representations of s_t and the index problem

Let us calculate e^{s_t} by using the vacuum expectation $e^{s_t} = \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle$ (see (2)) and one of the two obvious equations: $\dot{s}_t = ie^{-s_t}\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}\widehat{H}|0\rangle$ or $\dot{s}_t = ie^{-s_t}\langle 0|\widehat{H}e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle$.

By definition of the vacuum state, we have

$$\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a,\overline{h})|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a^\dagger, Ba)|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a,\overline{A}a)|0\rangle = 0.$$

Definition (15) of $\overline{\rho}_t$ and canonical transformations (13) justify the relationship

$$e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a^\dagger - \overline{\rho}_t a)\, e^{-i\widehat{H}t} = (\Phi_t^T)^{-1}a^\dagger + \overline{f}_t. \tag{17}$$

As a corollary of (17) we find the two basic vacuum expectations:

$$\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a^\dagger, h)|0\rangle = \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}((a^\dagger - \overline{\rho}_t a), h)|0\rangle = \langle 0|((\Phi_t^T)^{-1}a^\dagger + \overline{f}_t, h)e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle = e^{s_t}(\overline{f}_t, h),$$
$$\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}(a^\dagger, Aa^\dagger)|0\rangle = \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}((a^\dagger - \overline{\rho}_t a), A(a^\dagger - \overline{\rho}_t a))|0\rangle + \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle \operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_t A$$
$$= \langle 0|(\overline{f}_t, A\overline{f}_t)e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle + e^{s_t}\operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_t A = e^{s_t}((\overline{f}_t, A\overline{f}_t) + \operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_t A).$$

Therefore, $\dot{s}_t = ie^{-s_t}\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}\widehat{H}|0\rangle = -(\overline{f}_t, h) - \frac{1}{2}\Big((\overline{f}_t, A\overline{f}_t) + \operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_t A\Big)$ and this equality proves Lemma 2.

Lemma 2. *For f_t and ρ_t defined by theorem 1, we have*

$$s_t = -\int_0^t \Big((\overline{f}_\tau, h) + \frac{1}{2}(\overline{f}_\tau, A\overline{f}_\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_\tau A\Big)\, d\tau, \quad \overline{f}_t = \overline{h}_t - \overline{\rho}_t h_t. \tag{18}$$

If $A = 0$, then $\rho_t = 0$, $f_t = h_t$, and (10) coincides with function (18).

An equivalent representation of s_t follows from $\dot{s}_t = ie^{-s_t}\langle 0|\widehat{H}e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle$, (4), and the equality $e^{-it\widehat{H}}(a + R_t a^\dagger)e^{it\widehat{H}} = -(\Phi_{-t}^*)^{-1}a + h_{-t} - \rho_{-t}\overline{h}_{-t} = \Phi_t^{-1}a + f_{-t}$:

$$s_t = \int_0^t \Big((\widetilde{f}_\tau, \overline{h}) + \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{f}_\tau, \overline{A}\widetilde{f}_\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} R_\tau\overline{A}\Big)\, d\tau, \quad \widetilde{f}_t = h_{-t} + R_t\overline{h}_{-t}. \tag{19}$$

Equivalence of (18) and (19) was also tested numerically for randomly simulated A, B, and h (see [19]).

The expression for s_t in Berezin's book (see [1], (6.24) in p. 143) differs from (18) and (19). Taking into account the correspondence of notations, his expression of the

Table 1.

Ber	A	$i\overline{A}$	C	f	$i\overline{f}$	g_t
Che-Tl	iA	$\overline{A}$	B	ih	$\overline{h}$	h_t

normalizing factor is equal to

$$e^{s_t^{(\mathrm{Be})}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}t\operatorname{tr}B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\exp\Big\{\int_0^t \Big((\Phi_\tau^{-1}h_\tau, \overline{A}\Phi_\tau^{-1}h_\tau) - (\Phi_\tau^{-1}h_\tau, \overline{h})\Big)\, d\tau\Big\}. \tag{20}$$

At least, the factor $1/2$ at quadratic form in exponential (20) is missed and numerical values of (19) and (20) are different. As a consequence, the normalization condition $||e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle||^2 = 1$ for evolution of the vacuum state is violated if $h \neq 0$ (see section 8), and

perhaps this was the reason for physicists to ignore [1] and look for alternative theories (see [6], [7]). Numerical tests of normalization conditions (18), (19), (20) are given in [19].

Recall that $\mathrm{tr}(X+Y)=\mathrm{tr}\,X+\mathrm{tr}\,Y$ and $\mathrm{tr}\,XY=\mathrm{tr}\,YX$. In order to represent the integral $\int_0^t \mathrm{tr}\ \overline{\rho}_\tau A\,d\tau$ as an algebraic expression, we apply the R. Feynman formula [14] for the left and right derivatives, whose traces coincide:

$$\dot{C}_t^L=\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\,e^{C_t}\Big)e^{-C_t}=\lim_{\Delta t\to 0}\frac{1}{\Delta t}\int_0^1 ds\frac{d}{ds}\,e^{s(C_t+\Delta t\dot{C}_t)}e^{-sC_t}=\int_0^1 ds\,e^{sC_t}\dot{C}_t e^{-sC_t}, \tag{21}$$

$$\dot{C}_t^R=\int_0^1 ds\,e^{-sC_t}\dot{C}_t e^{sC_t},\quad \mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t^R=\int_0^1 ds\ \mathrm{tr}(e^{-sC_t}\dot{C}_t e^{sC_t})=\int_0^1 ds\ \mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t=\mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t.$$

These equalities prove that $\mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t^L=\mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t^R=\mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t$ because $\mathrm{tr}\,e^{-sC_t}\dot{C}_t e^{sC_t}=\mathrm{tr}\,\dot{C}_t$.

Consider the set of relationships which follow from commutativity of the group e^{Gt} and its generator G. Equations (16) imply explicit algebraic representations of the left and the right derivatives C_t^L and C_t^R:

$$\dot{C}_t^L=\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\,\Phi_t^{-1}\Big)\Phi_t=-\Phi_t^{-1}\dot{\Phi}_t=-\Phi_t^{-1}(\Psi_t\overline{A}-i\Phi_t B)=iB-R_t\overline{A}, \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{C}_t^R=\Phi_t\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\,\Phi_t^{-1}\Big)=-\dot{\Phi}_t\Phi_t^{-1}=-(A\overline{\Psi}_t-iB\Phi_t)\Phi_t^{-1}=iB-A\overline{\rho}_t.$$

Since $\mathrm{tr}\,C_t^L=\mathrm{tr}\,C_t^R=\mathrm{tr}\,C_t$, $e^{\mathrm{tr}\,C_t}=(\det e^{C_t})=(\det\Phi_t)^{-1}$, we obtain an algebraic value for the integral of the last summands in (18)-(19)

$$\int_0^t \mathrm{tr}\ \overline{\rho}_\tau A\,d\tau=\int_0^t \mathrm{tr}\ R_\tau\overline{A}\,d\tau=\mathrm{tr}(iBt-C_t),$$

$$e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\ \mathrm{tr}\ \overline{\rho}_\tau A\,d\tau}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(iBt-C_t)}=\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,B}}{(\pm)\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}, \tag{23}$$

$$e^{i\widehat{H}t}|_{h=0}=e^{i\widehat{H}_2 t}=\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,B}}{(\pm)\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger,R_t a^\dagger)}\ :e^{(a^\dagger,(\Phi_t^{-1}-I)a)}:\ e^{\frac{1}{2}(a,\overline{\rho}_t a)} \tag{24}$$

with correctly chosen sign $(\pm)$ which implies the continuity of expressions (23)-(24) in t. If the values of $e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \mathrm{tr}\ \overline{\rho}_\tau A\,d\tau-\frac{it}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,B}$ are not calculated at a given instant of time t, the local choice of the corresponding branch of the root $(\pm)\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}$ is impossible‡.

In order to ensure the continuity of functions in (23)-(24), we consider the definition of the *index*. A similar problem in quasi-classical quantum theory was solved by V. P. Maslov [4], who introduced the *index* for classical trajectories as the difference between the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of the action along the classical trajectory in configuration space associated to the Hamiltonian, the set of initial data and independent variables associated to quantum Hamiltonian.

Recall that numerical values of $\sqrt{z}$ calculated by Wolfram Mathematica (or MatLab, or other modern computational tool) are nonassosiative v. r. t. multiplication and discontinuous along the negative cut:

$$\sqrt{z}=\{\sqrt{|z|}e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi},\ \text{if}\ \phi\in[0,\pi];\quad \sqrt{|z|}e^{\frac{i}{2}(\phi-2\pi)},\ \text{if}\ \phi\in(\pi,2\pi)\}, \tag{25}$$

‡ Concerning the proper choice of the sign in (23)-(24), F. Berezin wrote: "It is impossible to remove the remaining non uniqueness in sign." (see [1], p. 136, line 5 from the bottom.)



Figure 1. The first two lines of figures illustrate the complex square root calcutated by MatLab or Wolfram Mathematica. The complex square root is implemented as a function which is continuous at the positive half line $z > 0$ and discontinuous at $z < 0$. The last two lines of panels show successful reconstructions of the continuous phase functions based on the $(\mathrm{mod}\, 2\pi)$-continuity condition (see equation (26) below and the algorithm in [19]).

that is $\phi = \pi$ is the point, where the phase functions are discontinuous [20]. At the same time $\sqrt{\Phi_t}$ in (23) is continuous at the origin and $\Phi_0 = I$. In the general case, $\sqrt{\operatorname{Arg}\det\Phi_t}$ is a discontinuous function (see the second line of panels in fig. 1 and the first line of panels in fig. 2), because its values must belong to half a circle (for example, either to $[0,\pi]$, or to $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$), and at each given instant of time t we have no physical or mathematical reasons to choose either positive or negative branch of the root.

On the other hand, the matrix elements of $e^{Gt} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix}$ and the left hand sides in (23)-(24) are continuous in t (see the second and the third lines of panels in fig. 2). The only disadvantage of representations (23)-(24) is that the numerical integration of $\operatorname{tr}\overline{\rho}_\tau A$ converges very slow for multimode systems ($n > 3$). Therefore, the continuity and smoothness of (24) can be ensured either by using non-local integral representation (23) of s_t, or by a global continuity construction based on the integer valued *index*.

An analogue of the construction of index introduced by V. P. Maslov for non-degenerate (recall that $\det|\Phi_t| \geq 1$) and non-Herimitian matrices Φ_t can be formulated in terms of the polar decomposition $\Phi_t = U_t\,|\Phi_t|$. The index can be defined correctly, if the arguments of unitary eigenvalues of U_s have a finite number of jumps in a finite time interval $(0,t)$.

Set $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \lambda_k(t) \in (-\pi,\pi]$, where $\lambda_k(t)$ are the arguments of eigenvalues $e^{i\lambda_k(t)}$ of U_t. Let $\{T_k\}:\ 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_{n(t)} < t$ be the set of instants of 2π-jumps of $\varphi(t)$ from one side of the interval $(-\pi,\pi]$ to another during time t (see fig. 2, panel 1). If $\varphi(t)$ decreases, its jumps from $-\pi$ to π are positive, and if $\varphi(t)$ increases, the jumps of the argument are negative (see fig. 1, panels 2 and 5, fig. 2, panels 2 and 5). Then

$$\operatorname{Ind}(s,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sum_{T_n\in(s,t)} \operatorname{sign}(\varphi(T_n+0) - \varphi(T_n-0)), \tag{26}$$

$$e^{i\widehat{H}_2 t} = \frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\operatorname{tr}B + i\pi\operatorname{Ind}(0,t)}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger)} \,:e^{(a^\dagger,(\Phi_t^{-1}-I)a)}:\, e^{\frac{1}{2}(a,\overline{\rho}_t a)} \tag{27}$$

is a continuous function, where the square root and the index are calculated according to (25) and (26) respectively. Examples of continuous reconstructions of the phase functions are shown in the last two lines of panels in fig. 1.

In next section, we derive a pure algebraic representation of s_t for fast numerical implementation.

4. Algebraic forms of e^{s_t} and normal symbols of squeezings

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define the normalized coherent vector $\psi(z) = e^{(z,a^\dagger)-(\overline{z},a)}|0\rangle = |z\rangle$. By (24) and by definition of the normal ordering, the normal symbol of $e^{i\widehat{H}_2 t}$ is equal to

$$\langle z|e^{i\widehat{H}_2 t}|z\rangle = \frac{e^{i\operatorname{Ind}(0,t)-\frac{it}{2}\operatorname{tr}B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\overline{z},R_t\overline{z})}\, e^{\left(\overline{z},\left(\Phi_t^{-1}-I\right)z\right)}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}(z,\overline{\rho}_t z)},$$



Figure 2. The first two panels show the discontinuous functions $\mathrm{ArgDet}\,\Phi_t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $\mathrm{Arg}\,\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}} \in [-\pi, \pi]$ in (23). The the second line shows continuous functions $\mathrm{Im}\,s_t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Eqs. (18), (19)) and $\mathrm{Im}\,S_t$, where $S_t = \mathrm{Arg}\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,B + i\pi\,\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}$ differs from the corresponding picture in the first line by $i\pi\,\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)$ in the exponential. The third line illustrates coincidence and continuity of the real part of $\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\overline{\rho}_\tau A\,d\tau$ in Eqs. (18) and $\mathrm{Log}|\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}|$ in the right hand side of (23). The last two panels show $\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)$ defined by (26) and reconstructed continuity of the $\mathrm{ArgDet}\Phi(t)$ (c. f. panel 1 in this figure.

and the commutation rule $e^{-(a^\dagger,z)+(a,\overline{z})}F(a^\dagger,a)e^{(a^\dagger,z)-(a,\overline{z})}=F(a^\dagger+\overline{z},a+z)$ implies that

$$\frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)-\frac{it}{2}\operatorname{tr}B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\overline{z},R_t\overline{z})}\,e^{(\overline{z},\left(\Phi_t^{-1}-I\right)z)}\,e^{\frac{1}{2}(z,\overline{\rho}_tz)}=\langle z|e^{i\widehat{H}_2t}|z\rangle=\langle 0|e^{iH_2(a^\dagger+\overline{z},a+z)t}|0\rangle$$

$$=\langle 0|e^{\left(i\widehat{H}_2-(a^\dagger,x)+(a,\overline{x})\right)t}|0\rangle\,e^{it(\overline{z},Bz)-\frac{1}{2}(\overline{z},A\overline{z})+\frac{1}{2}(z,\overline{A}z)}=e^{s_t}\,e^{it\mathrm{Im}\,(z,\overline{h})},$$

where we set $x=A\overline{z}-iBz$ and $\overline{x}=\overline{A}z+i\overline{B}\overline{z}$. If $\det G\neq 0$, the equations $A\overline{z}-iBz=h$, $\overline{A}z+i\overline{B}\overline{z}=\overline{h}$ are solvable with respect to $\{z,\overline{z}\}$ so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} z(h,\overline{h})\\ \overline{z}(h,\overline{h})\end{pmatrix}=G^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} h\\ \overline{h}\end{pmatrix},\quad \widehat{H}_2+i(a^\dagger,(A\overline{z}-iBz))-i(a,(\overline{A}z+i\overline{B}\overline{z}))\big|_{z(h,\overline{h})}=\widehat{H}. \tag{28}$$

Taking into account (2), (23), and (28), under assumption $\det G\neq 0$, we obtain an algebraic expression for the scalar multiplier e^{s_t}:

$$e^{s_t}=\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle=\frac{e^{-\frac{it}{2}\operatorname{tr}B+Q_t}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}, \tag{29}$$

$$Q_t=i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)+\frac{1}{2}(z,(\overline{\rho}_t-\overline{A}t)z)-\frac{1}{2}(\overline{z},(R_t-At)\overline{z})+(\overline{z},(\Phi_t^{-1}-I-iBt)z)\big|_{z(h,\overline{h})}.$$

Note that the second exponential can be represented as a symmetric quadratic form in terms of algebraic operations:

$$Q_t=\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} h\\ \overline{h}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\rho}_t-\overline{A}t & (\Phi_t^T)^{-1}-I-i\,\overline{B}t\\ (\Phi_t)^{-1}-I-iBt & -R_t+At\end{pmatrix}G^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} h\\ \overline{h}\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

The final result of this section is an algebraic representation of s_t in terms of the matrices e^{Gt}, $G^{-1}(e^{Gt}-I)$, and $G^{-2}(e^{Gt}-I-Gt)$ which are well defined for degenerate and nondegenerate matrices G.

For $\widehat{H}=\widehat{H}_2-(a^\dagger,h)+(a,\overline{h})$, we have $e^{s_t}=\langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle=\langle\phi_t|e^{-i\widehat{H}_2t}e^{i\widehat{H}t}|0\rangle$ with $\phi_t\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}e^{-i\widehat{H}_2t}|0\rangle\in\otimes_1^n\ell_2$. By unitary isomorphism between $\otimes_1^n\ell_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\otimes_1^n\ell_2\ni|0\rangle\leftrightarrow\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}}\in\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),\quad a\leftrightarrow\frac{x+\partial_x}{\sqrt{2}},\quad a^\dagger\leftrightarrow\frac{x-\partial_x}{\sqrt{2}},$$

decomposition (12) implies

$$e^{-i\widehat{H}_2t}|0\rangle=\frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)+\frac{it}{2}\operatorname{tr}B}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_{-t}}}\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger,R_{-t}a^\dagger)}|0\rangle\leftrightarrow\frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)+\frac{|x|^2}{2}-(x,(I-R_{-t})^{-1}x)}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}\sqrt{\det\Phi_{-t}\det(I-R_{-t})}}\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}\phi_t(x)$$

$$=\frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t)+\frac{|x|^2}{2}-(x,(I+\rho_t)^{-1}x)}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}\sqrt{\det\Phi_t\det(I+\rho_t)}}\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}\phi_t(x), \tag{30}$$

where $\phi_t(x)\in\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $R_{-t}=-\rho_t$, $\Phi_{-t}=\Phi_t^*$. Moreover, the CCR (6) implies two useful identities for determinants: $\det\Phi_t\,\det\overline{\Phi}_t\,\det(I-R_t\overline{R_t})=1$ and

$$\det\Phi_t\,\det\overline{\Phi}_t\,\det(I-R_t)\,\det(I-\overline{R_t})\det\left((I-R_t)^{-1}+(I-\overline{R_t})^{-1}-I\right)=1. \tag{31}$$

Let us prove the unitary equivalence of exponential vectors from ℓ_2 and $\mathcal{L}_2$:

$$\psi_t=e^{-i\widehat{H}_2t}e^{i(\widehat{H}_2-(a^\dagger,h)+(a,\overline{h}))t}|0\rangle=e^{-(a^\dagger,h_t)+i\gamma_t-\frac{|h_t|^2}{2}}|0\rangle\leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftrightarrow\frac{e^{i\gamma_t-\frac{(h_t,\overline{h}_t-h_t)}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+\sqrt{2}h_t,x+\sqrt{2}h_t)}\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}\psi_t(x), \tag{32}$$

where h_t, $\overline{h}_t$ are the same as in (5), and

$$\gamma_t = \mathrm{Im}\int_0^t (\dot{h}_s, \overline{h}_s)ds,\ e^{s_t} = \langle \phi_t, \psi_t\rangle_{l_2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\phi}_t(x)\psi_t(x)d^n x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{-t}(x)\psi_t(x)d^n x. \quad (33)$$

By taking the time derivative of the left hand side of (32) in ℓ_2 representation, we obtain

$$(\Phi_{-t}a + \Psi_{-t}a^\dagger, \overline{h}) - (\overline{\Phi}_{-t}a^\dagger + \overline{\Psi}_{-t}a, h) - (a + h_t, \dot{\overline{h}}_t) + (a^\dagger, \dot{h}_t) + i\dot{\gamma}_t - \frac{(h_t, \dot{\overline{h}}_t) - (\dot{h}_t, \overline{h}_t)}{2} = 0.$$

Note that zero values of coefficients at a, $a^\dagger$, and I are the necessary conditions for this equality. Taking into account the identities $\Phi_{-t} = \Phi_t^*$, $\Psi_{-t} = -\Psi_t^T$, we obtain the following equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{h}_t \\ \dot{\overline{h}}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_t \\ \overline{h}_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i\dot{\gamma}_t = \frac{(\dot{h}_t, \overline{h}_t) - (h_t, \dot{\overline{h}}_t)}{2} = i\mathrm{Im}\,(\dot{h}_t, \overline{h}_t).$$

Consider the integral representation of (33)

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_t \\ \overline{h}_t \end{pmatrix} = \frac{e^{Gt} - I}{G} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i\gamma_t = i\mathrm{Im}\int_0^t (\dot{h}_s, \overline{h}_s)ds = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \det \begin{pmatrix} \dot{h}_t & \dot{\overline{h}}_t \\ h_t & \overline{h}_t \end{pmatrix} ds$$

and let us transform the above integral to algebraic form:

$$i\gamma_t = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{I - Gt - e^{-Gt}}{G^2} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \overline{h} \\ -h \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad e^{Gt} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The symplectic property of canonical transformations (4) implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t^T & \Psi_t^* \\ \Psi_t^T & \Phi_t^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \\ -\Phi_t & -\Psi_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{Gt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{-t}^T & \Psi_{-t}^* \\ \Psi_{-t}^T & \Phi_{-t}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = e^{-G^T t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence from equation (5) we have

$$2\,\mathrm{Im}\,(h_s, \dot{\overline{h}}_s) = \det \begin{pmatrix} h_s & \dot{h}_s \\ \overline{h}_s & \dot{\overline{h}}_s \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{e^{Gt} - I}{G} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{Gt} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}\right) =$$

$$\left(\frac{e^{Gt} - I}{G} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, e^{-G^T t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left(\frac{I - e^{-Gt}}{G} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \overline{h} \\ -h \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Integration of this equality in s over $[0, t]$ readily implies (34). Finally, by combining (30) and (32), we obtain an algebraic expression for $e^{s_t} = \langle 0|e^{i\widehat{H}_t}|0\rangle$ and also expressions for $\psi_z = e^{S_t - \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (G_t, a^\dagger)}|z\rangle$ and $N_{A,B,h}(\overline{z}, z) = \langle z|\psi_z\rangle$ as corollaries.

Theorem 2.

1. *For abitrary symmetric matrix A, Hermitian matrix B, and complex vector h, the vacuum expectation of the unitary group $e^{it\widehat{H}}$ (2) is equal to*

$$e^{s_t} = \langle 0|e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle = e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t) + i\gamma_t - \frac{1}{2}(h_t, h_t) - \frac{1}{2}(h_t, (\overline{h}_t - h_t))} \int d^n x \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}(h_t, x) - (x, (I + \rho_t^*)^{-1}x)}}{\pi^{n/4}\sqrt{\det(I + \rho_t^*)\det \Phi_t}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t) + i\gamma_t - \frac{1}{2}((h_t, (\overline{h}_t - \overline{\rho}_t h_t)) + it\,\mathrm{tr}\,B)}}{\sqrt{\det \Phi_t}} = \frac{e^{i\mathrm{Ind}(0,t) + i\gamma_t - \frac{it}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,B - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{h}_{-t}, \Phi_t^{-1} h_t)}}{\sqrt{\det \Phi_t}}, \quad (35)$$

where $\overline{\rho}_t = \rho_t^*$, h_t and γ_t are given by (5) and (34).

2. *The state* $\psi_z = e^{S_t - \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (G_t, a^\dagger)}|z\rangle$ *is a unit vector in* $\otimes_1^n \ell_2, e^{s_t}$ *and its image in* $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is equal to the Gaussian function*

$$\psi_t(x) = \frac{e^{S_t}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}\sqrt{\det(I - R_t)}}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - (x + \frac{G_t}{\sqrt{2}}, (I-R_t)^{-1}(x + \frac{G_t}{\sqrt{2}}))} \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{36}$$

where $G_t = \Phi_t^{-1}(h_t - z)$, $S_t = s_t + (z, \overline{f}_t - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{z} - \overline{\rho}_t z))$, *and* $f_t = h_t - \rho_t \overline{h}_t$ *(see (15)).*

3. *The normal symbol of squeezing (2) is equal to*

$$N_{A,B,h}(\overline{z}, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle z|e^{i\widehat{H}t}|z\rangle = e^{\mathrm{Ind}_t + s_t - |z|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{z}, R_t\overline{z}) - (v_t, \overline{z}) + (\overline{z}, (\Phi_t^{-1} - I)z) + \frac{1}{2}(z, \overline{\rho}_t z) + (\overline{f}_t, z))}. \tag{37}$$

The coincidence of expressions (18), (19), (29), (35) was tested numerically. The testing modules are available for users of Wolfram Mathematica at [19].

5. Inner product of squeezed states and composition of squeezings

The inner products of squeezed states are necessary for constructing orthonormal bases, and the symbols of compositions of squeezings allow one to represent in algebraic terms the quantum evolution of multimode systems in some important cases.

In this section we use the well known canonical isometric isomorphysm between $\otimes_1^n \ell_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}}$ and $a \leftrightarrow \frac{x + \partial_x}{\sqrt{2}}$, $a^\dagger \leftrightarrow \frac{x - \partial_x}{\sqrt{2}}$. According to equation (4.1) from [11], the multimode squeezed state

$$e^{i\widehat{H}t}|z\rangle = e^{s_t - \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger, R_t a^\dagger) - (g_t, a^\dagger)}|0\rangle \in \otimes_1^n \ell_2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is unitary equivalent to the Gaussian ψ-function

$$\psi_t(x) = \frac{e^{s_t}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{4}}\sqrt{\det(I - R_t)}}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - (x + \frac{g_t}{\sqrt{2}}, (I-R_t)^{-1}(x + \frac{g_t}{\sqrt{2}}))} \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $g_t = \Phi_t^{-1} h_t$.

The calculation of the norm $||\psi_t||^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ reduces to integration of the Gaussian function $\overline{\psi}_t(x)\psi_t(x)$. Note that $R_t = R_t^T$, $\rho_t = \rho_t^T$, and (6) imply a set of useful identities:

$$I - R_t R_t^* = I - R_t \overline{R}_t = |\Phi_t|^{-2}, \quad \det(I - R_t\overline{R}_t)\det\Phi_t \det\overline{\Phi}_t = I,$$
$$\Omega_t = (I - \overline{R}_t)^{-1} + (I - R_t)^{-1} - I = (I - \overline{R}_t)^{-1}(I - \overline{R}_t R_t)(I - R_t)^{-1} = \overline{\Omega}_t = \Omega_t^T,$$

and $\Omega_t^{-1} = (\Phi_t^T - \Psi_t^T)(\overline{\Phi}_t - \overline{\Psi}_t) = (\Phi_t^* - \Psi_t^*)(\Phi_t - \Psi_t)$. Therefore, $\overline{\psi}_t(x)\psi_t(x)$ is a well defined Gaussian density with correlation matrix $\Omega_t > 0$. After integration of a product of Gaussian functions (36) we obtain

$$||\psi_t||^2_{\mathcal{L}_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I - \overline{R}_t R_t)}} e^{2\mathrm{Re}\, s_t + 2(\mathrm{Re}\,(I-R_t)^{-1}g_t, \Omega^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,(I-R_t)^{-1}g_t) - \mathrm{Re}\,(g_t, (I-R_t)^{-1}g_t)} = 1 \tag{38}$$

because from $e^{2\mathrm{Re}\, s_t} = \sqrt{\det(I - \overline{R}_t R_t)}$ and $\det M = \det M^T$ we have

$$\frac{e^{2\mathrm{Re}\, s_t}}{\sqrt{\det(I - \overline{R}_t R_t)}} = \sqrt{\det\left(\Phi_t\Phi_t^* - \Psi_t\Psi_t^*\right)}^{-1} = 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(I-R_t)^{-1}g_t, \Omega^{-1}\mathrm{Re}\,(I-R_t)^{-1}g_t) - \mathrm{Re}\,(g_t,(I-R_t)^{-1}g_t) = 0.$$

Similarly, for $G_k = \Phi_t^{-1}(h_k - z_k)$, $S_k = s_k + (\overline{f}_k, z_k) + \frac{(z_k,\overline{\rho}_k z_k)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}|z_k|^2$, $R_k = \Phi_k^{-1}\Psi_k$ $(k = 1, 2)$, and $Y = (I-\overline{R}_1)^{-1}\overline{G}_1 + (I-R_2)^{-1}G_2$, we calculate the inner product of squeezed states in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\otimes_1^n \ell_2$ representation:

$$\langle\psi_1,\psi_2\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2} = e^{\overline{S}_1+S_2}\int \frac{e^{|x|^2-\left((x+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Omega_{12}^{-1}Y),\Omega_{12}(x+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Omega_{12}^{-1}Y)\right)}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det(I-\overline{R}_1)\det(I-R_2)}}\,d^n x = \frac{e^{\sigma_{12}}}{\sqrt{\det(I-\overline{R}_1R_2)}}, \tag{39}$$

$$\Omega_{12} = \Omega_{12}^T = (I-\overline{R}_1)^{-1} + (I-R_2)^{-1} - I = (I-\overline{R}_1)^{-1}(I-\overline{R}_1R_2)(I-R_2)^{-1},$$

$$\sigma_{12} = \overline{S}_1 + S_2 - \frac{1}{2}((\overline{G}_1,(I-\overline{R}_1)^{-1}\overline{G}_1) - \frac{1}{2}((G_2,(I-R_2)^{-1}G_2) + \frac{1}{2}(Y,\Omega_{12}Y). \tag{40}$$

A simple approach to the composition of squeezings can be given in terms of canonical transformations. Consider $U_1 = e^{-i\widehat{H}_1}$, $U_2 = e^{i\widehat{H}_2}$ with unit time $t = 1$. We skip here the time dependence because the semigroup property does not hold for the composition U_1U_2. The action of U_k on functions of $a^\dagger$, a can be expressed in terms of Φ_k and Ψ_k by (5). Since the scalar operators U_k commute with numerical expressions or matrices with scalar valued coefficients and act just on the creation-annihilation operators, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ a_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = U_2U_1\begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}U_1^*U_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{12} & \Psi_{12} \\ \overline{\Psi}_{12} & \overline{\Phi}_{12} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{12} \\ \overline{h}_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_{12} = \Phi_1\Phi_2 + \Psi_1\overline{\Psi}_2,\ \Psi_{12} = \Phi_1\Psi_2 + \Phi_1\overline{\Psi}_2, \quad h_{12} = \Phi_{12}h_2 + \Psi_{12}\overline{h}_2 + h_1.$$

It can be readily proved that Φ_{12} and Ψ_{12} possess the CCR property (6). Then

$$U_{12} = e^{s_{12}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger,R_{12}a^\dagger)-(g_{12},a^\dagger)}\,e^{(a^\dagger,(\Phi_{12}^{-1}-I)a)}\,e^{\frac{1}{2}(a,\overline{\rho}_{12}a)+(\overline{f}_{12},a)}, \tag{41}$$

where $R_{12} = \Phi_{12}^{-1}\Psi_{12}$, $\overline{\rho}_{12} = \overline{\Psi}_{12}\Phi_{12}^{-1}$, $g_{12} = \Phi_{12}^{-1}h_{12}$, $f_{12} = h_{12} - \overline{\rho}_{12}\,\overline{h}_{12}$, and (see (40))

$$e^{s_{12}} = \langle 0|e^{-i\widehat{H}_1t_1}e^{i\widehat{H}_2t_2}|0\rangle = \frac{e^{\sigma_{12}}}{\sqrt{\det(I-\overline{R}_1R_2)}}. \tag{42}$$

These collection of parameters describe the normal ordering of the composition of squeezings:

$$U_1U_2 = e^{s_{12}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger,R_{12}a^\dagger)-(g_{12},a^\dagger)}\,e^{(a^\dagger,C_{12}a)}\,e^{\frac{1}{2}(a,\overline{\rho}_{12}a)+(\overline{f}_{12},a)}. \tag{43}$$

6. The Jordan decomposition of squeezings

In the general case, the Jordan decomposition $G = DJD^{-1}$ justifies a useful representation of $(2n\times 2n)$-matrix $S_t = e^{Gt} = De^{Jt}D^{-1}$ as the exponent of the Jordan matrix J with $(n_k\times n_k)$-blocks J_k:

$$J_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \to e^{J_kt} = e^{\lambda_kt}\Delta_k, \quad \Delta_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2!} & \dots & \frac{1}{(n_k-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & \frac{1}{(n_k-2)!} \\ & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The muliplicity n_k of λ_k coincides with the rank of J_k, and decomposition of

$$F^{(1)}(t) = \frac{e^{Gt} - I}{G} = D \int_0^t e^{sJ} ds\, D^{-1} = D\, \frac{e^{Jt} - I}{J}\, D^{-1} \tag{44}$$

is well defined in regular and degenerate cases. The Jordan blocks J_k generate triangle matrices $F_k^{(1)}(t) = \frac{e^{J_k t} - I}{J_k}$:

$$J_k^{-1} = \lambda_k^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_k^{-1} & \dots & (-\lambda_k)^{-n_k+1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & (-\lambda_k)^{-n_k+2} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{e^{Gt} - I}{G} = D \begin{pmatrix} F_1^{(1)}(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & F_2^{(1)}(t) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & F_K^{(1)}(t) \end{pmatrix} D^{-1},$$

$$F_k^{(1)}(t)\Big|_{\lambda_k=0} = \begin{pmatrix} t & \frac{1}{2!}t^2 & \frac{1}{3!}t^3 & \dots & \frac{1}{n_k!}t^{n_k} \\ 0 & t & \frac{1}{2!}t^2 & \dots & \frac{1}{(n_k-1)!}t^{n_k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (F_k^{(1)}(t))_{ij} = \frac{1 - e^{\lambda_k t} \sum_{m=0}^{j-i} \frac{(-\lambda_k t)^m}{m!}}{(-\lambda_k)^{j-i+1}}, \tag{45}$$

for $i \geq j$; otherwise, $F_k^{(1)}(i,j) = 0$. The matrices $F_k^{(1)}(t)$ are well defined in the degenerate case because $(F_k^{(1)}(t))_{ij} \to \frac{t^{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!}$ as $\lambda_k \to 0$.

The Jordan decomposition can be also used for calculation of $\frac{e^{Gt} - I - Gt}{G^2}$ because the algebraic form of $\frac{e^{J_k t} - I - J_k t}{J_k^2}$ is well defined in nondegenerate and degenerate cases:

$$F^{(2)}(t) = D \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\tau e^{sJ} ds\, D^{-1} = \frac{e^{Gt} - I - Gt}{G^2}, \quad F_k^{(2)}(t) = \frac{e^{J_k t} - I - J_k t}{J_k^2}. \tag{46}$$

Moreover, the following expressions for components related to Jordan decomposition are satisfied:

$$J_k^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^2 & 2\lambda_k & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k^2 & 2\lambda_k & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_k^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^{-2} & -2\lambda_k^{-3} & \dots & +n_k(-\lambda_k)^{-n_k-1} \\ 0 & \lambda_k^{-2} & \dots & +(n_k-1)(-\lambda_k)^{-n_k} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{e^{Gt} - I - Gt}{G^2} = D \begin{pmatrix} F_1^{(2)}(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & F_2^{(2)}(t) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & F_K^{(2)}(t) \end{pmatrix} D^{-1},$$

$$(F_k^{(2)}(t))_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{j-i+1}}{\lambda_k^{i-j+2}} \left(j - i + 1 + \lambda_k t - e^{\lambda_k t} \sum_{m=0}^{j-i} \frac{(j-i+1-m)(-\lambda_k t)^m}{m!} \right), \tag{48}$$

$$F_k^{(2)}(t)\Big|_{\lambda_k=0} = -t^2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2!} & \frac{-t}{3!} & \dots & \frac{(-t)^{k-2}}{k!} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2!} & \dots & \frac{(-t)^{k-3}}{(k-1)!} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{2!} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $i \geq j$; otherwise, $(F_k^{(2)}(t))_{ij} = 0$. The triangle matrices $F_k^{(2)}(t)$ are well defined in the degenerate case because $(F_k^{(2)}(t))_{ij} \to \frac{t^{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!}$ as $\lambda_k \to 0$.

This observation establishes an algebraic representation for $h(t)$, $\overline{h}(t)$, γ_t, and s_t which follows from (5) and (45) with constant matrices D and well-defined triangle matrices $F_k^{(1)}(t)$, $F_k^{(2)}(t)$. Implementation time for calculation of $F(t)$ according to (45), (47) is faster than by (44), (46) (see [19]).

7. An example of normal decomposition

In this section, we consider Hamiltonian (1) such that G is invertible and all matrices in (16) and (29) can be described explicitly in terms of G and the spectral expansions of the Hermitian matrix $D = A\overline{A} - B^2$ in any dimension.

Suppose that the matrix $D = A\overline{A} - B^2$ is not degenerate and $BA = A\overline{B}$. Then $\overline{B}\,\overline{A} = \overline{A}B$, $BA\overline{A} = A\overline{B}\,\overline{A} = A\overline{A}B$, and $\overline{A}B^2 = \overline{B}\,\overline{A}B = \overline{B}^2\,\overline{A}$. These relationships imply that

$$G^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} D^n & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{D}^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad G^{2n+1} = G \begin{pmatrix} D^n & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{D}^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D^n & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{D}^n \end{pmatrix} G. \tag{49}$$

Hence the matrix $G^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A\overline{A} - B^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A}A - \overline{B}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ does not degenerate. Therefore, $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -iB & A \\ \overline{A} & i\overline{B} \end{pmatrix}$ so does. Moreover, the matrices $G^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} (A\overline{A} - B^2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\overline{A}A - \overline{B}^2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $G^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are well defined in terms of the spectral expansion of D, and

$$e^{Gt} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_t & \Psi_t \\ \overline{\Psi}_t & \overline{\Phi}_t \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh D^{\frac{1}{2}} t & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh \overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cosh D^{\frac{1}{2}} t & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G^{-1} = G^{-2}G = \begin{pmatrix} -iD^{-1}B & D^{-1}A \\ \overline{D}^{-1}\overline{A} & i\overline{D}^{-1}\overline{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iBD^{-1} & A\overline{D}^{-1} \\ \overline{A}D^{-1} & i\overline{B}\,\overline{D}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & i\overline{B} \\ iB & -A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ \overline{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & i\overline{B} \\ iB & -A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -iB & A \\ \overline{A} & i\overline{B} \end{pmatrix} G^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that condition

$$[A\overline{A}, B] = 0, \tag{51}$$

does not imply that A and B commute, but if $A\overline{A}$ has a simple spectrum, then $BA = A\overline{B}$. Indeed, since $[A\overline{A}, B] = 0$, the matrices $A\overline{A}$ and B must have a joint system of spectral projectors $\{\widehat{\pi}_k\}$ such that

$$A\overline{A} = \sum_k d_k^2 \widehat{\pi}_k, \quad B = \sum_k \lambda_k \widehat{\pi}_k, \quad \sum_k \widehat{\pi}_k = I, \quad \widehat{\pi}_k = \widehat{\pi}_k^*, \quad \widehat{\pi}_k \widehat{\pi}_j = I\delta_{kj},$$

where d_k^2 and λ_k are the eigenvalues of $A\overline{A}$ and B respectively, and $\widehat{\pi}_k$ are their common spectral projectors. If all $\{d_k^2\}_1^n$ differ each other, then there exists the polynomial

$$f(d) = \sum_k \lambda_k \prod_{d_m \neq d_k} \frac{d - d_m^2}{d_k^2 - d_m^2} = \sum_k f_k d^k, \quad f_k = f_k(\lambda, d) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

such that $f(d_k^2) = \lambda_k$, and $f(A\overline{A}) = B$ follows from $f(A\overline{A})\widehat{\pi}_k = f(d_k^2)\widehat{\pi}_k = \lambda_k\widehat{\pi}_k$. Therefore, the "commutation relation"

$$\overline{A}B = \overline{A}\sum_k f_k\,(A\overline{A})^k = \sum_k f_k\,(\overline{A}A)^k\,\overline{A} = \overline{B}\,\overline{A} \tag{52}$$

is a consequence of (51) for matrices $A\overline{A}$ with simple spectrum.

If the spectrum of $A\overline{A}$ is multiple (for example, $A = A\overline{A} = I$) and $B \neq \overline{B}$, then (52) clearly fails. On the other hand, (52) holds for the operators A such that the multiplicity of the spectrum of $A\overline{A}$ is greater then or equal to the spectral multiplicity of B, because in such case the polynomial representation $B = f(A\overline{A})$ remains well-defined and implies the equality $BA = A\overline{B}$ (see [16], sect. 4.4 for applications of this equality in linear algebra).

The relationship between the singular value decomposition of the Hermitian matrix $A\overline{A} = U^*|D|^2U$ (with unitary U and arbitrary diagonal matrix D), and the general representation of the symmetric matrix A follows from a modified version of the Takagi representation formula (see [17]): $A = U^*D\overline{U}$. In order to satisfy (51), we suppose that $B = U^*\Lambda U$ with the same unitary U and arbitrary real diagonal matrix Λ. Then A is symmetric, B is a Hermitian matrix, $A\overline{A} = U^*D^2U$ and $B = U^*\Lambda U$ commute, and $A\overline{B} = BA = U^*\Lambda D\overline{U}$.

8. Numerical tests for integral and algebraic representations of s_t

Studying algebraic properties of the main objects related to symplectic matrices (3), we have tested numerically non-trivial relations for randomly generated matrices A, B, and vectors h.

1. The following representations for γ_t hold true:

$$\gamma_t = \int_0^t ds\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} h_s \\ \overline{h}_s \end{pmatrix}, \mathrm{e}^{Gs}\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\frac{I - Gt - e^{-Gt}}{G^2}\right)\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\frac{e^{Gt} - Gt - I}{G^2}\right)\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

2. For $F_t = h_{-t} + R_t\overline{h}_{-t}$, the following representations of the vacuum expectation $\langle 0|e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle = e^{s_t}$ are equivalent:

$$e^{s_t} = e^{-\int_0^t ds\left(\frac{1}{2}(\overline{f}_s, A\overline{f}_s) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\rho}_s A) + (\overline{f}_s, h)\right)} = e^{\int_0^t ds\left(\frac{1}{2}(F_s, \overline{A}F_s) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(R_s\overline{A}) + (\overline{h}, F_s)\right)} =$$
$$\frac{e^{i\pi\mathrm{Ind}_t}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\,e^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_t - it\,\mathrm{tr}\,B + (\overline{h}_{-t}, \Phi_t^{-1}h_t))} = \frac{e^{i\pi\mathrm{Ind}_t}}{\sqrt{\det\Phi_t}}\,e^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_t - it\,\mathrm{tr}\,B - (h_t, (\overline{h}_t - \rho_t^*h_t))}. \tag{53}$$

3. Let $\alpha = 2||(\Phi_t - \Psi_t)\mathrm{Re}\,(\Phi_t - \Psi_t)^{-1}h_t||^2 - \mathrm{Re}\,(g_t, (\Phi_t - \Psi_t)^{-1}h_t)$. Then the unit norm of squeezed state $|A, B, h\rangle = e^{it\widehat{H}}|0\rangle$ can be equivalently represented in terms of various objects:

$$1 = |||A, B, h\rangle||^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I - \overline{R}_tR_t)}}\,e^{\alpha_t - \mathrm{Re}\int_0^t ds\left((\overline{f}_s, A\overline{f}_s) + \mathrm{tr}(\overline{\rho}_s A) + (\overline{f}_s, h)\right)} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I-\overline{R}_t R_t)}}\, e^{2\mathrm{Re}\,(s_t)+\alpha_t} = e^{\mathrm{Re}\,(\alpha_t+\gamma_t+(\overline{h}_{-t},\Phi_t^{-1}h_t))} = e^{\mathrm{Re}\,(\alpha_t+\gamma_t-(\overline{h}_t+\overline{\rho}_t h_t,h_t))}. \qquad (54)$$

Note that the normalization conditions (54) are independent on the index function.

The graphs in fig. 1 and fig. 2 were created for randomly chosen A, B, and h:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.694+0.3276i & 0.317+0.54i & 0.509+0.331i \\ 0.317+0.54i & 0.0031+1.9513i & 0.6619+0.0605i \\ 0.509+0.331i & 0.6619+0.0605i & 0.5526+0.5576i \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} -0.6898+0.8259i \\ -0.3758+0.0629i \\ -0.4417-0.5016i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1.3802 & 1.8946+0.5657i & 1.1696+1.1702i \\ 1.8946-0.5657i & 1.2728 & 1.7892+1.3761i \\ 1.1696-1.1702i & 1.7892-1.3761i & 0.5547 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coincidence of s_t in expressions (18) and (29) was tested for random matrices A, B, and the vector h generated numerically by using Wolfram Mathematica. For $3, 4$, and 5-modes systems, the representation (29) was implemented 650, 8000, and 141000 times faster; the values of s_t calculated by (18) and (29) coincide with accuracy 10^{-11}. The functions (18) and (29) are deposited at [19] as Mathematica 7 modules.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank Prof. A. S. Chirkin for a useful discussion of the questions related to this paper.

References

[1] Berezin F A, The Method of Second Quantization, New York, 1966.
[2] Kirznic D A, Field Theoretical Methods in Many-Body Theory, Gosatomizdat, Moscow, 1963.
[3] Bogoliubov N N, Shirkov D V, Quantum Fields, 1982, Mass., Benjamin-Cummings Pub. Co.
[4] Maslov V, Theory of perturbations and asymptotic methods; appendix by V. I. Arnold. French translation of Russian original (1965), Gauthier-Villars (1972).
[5] Fan H Y, 2003 *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.*, **5:4** R147–R163.
[6] Gardiner C W, Zoller P, Quantum Noise, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
[7] Haus H A, Electromagnetic noise and optical quantum measurements, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
[8] Dodonov V V, 2002 *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4** (1).
[9] Sumei H, Agarwal G S, Squeezing of a Nanomechanical Oscillator, *arXiv:0905.4234* [quant-ph].
[10] Schuch N, Cirac J I, and Wolf M M, 2006 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267**, 65.
[11] Chebotarev A M, Tlyachev T V, Radionov A A 2011 *Mathematical Notes* **89** 577.
[12] Chebotarev A M, Tlyachev T V, Radionov A A 2012 *Mathematical Notes* **92** 109.
[13] Maurice A. de Gosson, The symplectic egg in classical and quantum mechanics, 2013 *Am. J. Phys.* **81**, **328** 328-337.
[14] Feynman R P, 1951 *Phys. Rev.* **84**, 1, 108.
[15] Karasev M V and Maslov V P, *Nonlinear Poisson Brackets: Geometry and Quantization* [in Russian] Nauka, Moscow, 1991.
[16] Horn R A, Johnson Ch R, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press 1985.
[17] Hahn T, Routines for the diagonalization of complex matrices, arXiv:physics.comp-ph/0607103v2.
[18] Tlyachev T V, Chebotarev A M, Chirkin A S, 2013, *Physica Scripta T* **153** 014060.
[19] http://statphys.nm.ru/biblioteka/Demo/FactorS.nb
[20] http://reference.wolfram.com/mathematica/tutorial/FunctionsThatDoNotHaveUniqueValues.html